Listing of Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

1. (Previously Presented) A method of routing a flow of frames comprising:

applying a correspondence between a plurality of logical ports and a plurality of physical ports of a switch, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order; and

balancing frame traffic through said switch using said plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said switch via said physical ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked group.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein a selected physical port for each of said frames exiting said switch is selected based at least in part on said correspondence.

3.-5. (Cancelled)

- 6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein said balancing comprises applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.
- (Original) The method of claim 6, wherein applying a pseudo-random process comprises applying a hash function.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6, wherein said correspondence is employed to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based at least in part on the logical port selected as said particular logical port.

9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein said balancing comprises applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for each particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein applying a pseudo-random process comprises applying a hash function.

11. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein said correspondence is employed to determine the physical port to which to route each of said particular frames based at least in part on the logical port selected for each of said particular logical ports.

12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein said balancing comprises applying weights to select a particular logical port of said switch as an egress port for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

13. (Original) The method of claim 12, wherein said correspondence is employed to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based at least in part on the selected logical port.

14. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch comprises, for each of said frames exiting said switch, a physical port being selected based at least in part on said correspondence.

15. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 14, wherein said balancing comprises applying weights to select a particular logical port of said switch as an egress port for each of said frames exiting said switch.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, wherein said correspondence is

employed to determine the physical port corresponding to each of said particular logical ports to which to route each of said frames exiting said switch, said corresponding physical port being

based at least in part on the logical port selected as the particular logical port.

17. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein a selected physical port for at least one of

said frames exiting said switch is further selected based at least in part on a source tag and/or a

destination tag added to said frame after said frame enters said switch.

18. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein said source tag and/or said destination

tag is stripped off said frame before said frame exits said switch.

19. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein a selected physical port for each of said

frames exiting said switch is further selected based at least in part on a source tag and/or a

destination tag added to each of said frames after said frames enter, said switch.

20. (Original) The method of claim 19, wherein said source tag and/or said destination

tag is stripped off each of said frames before each of said frames exits said switch.

21. (Previously Presented) An apparatus comprising:

a switch, said switch including a processor and memory;

said switch further including a plurality of logical and a plurality of physical ports, and having the capability to route a flow of frames exiting said switch;

said switch being adapted to apply a correspondence between said plurality of logical ports and said plurality of physical ports of said switch, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order, and being further adapted to balance frame traffic through said switch using said plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said switch via said physical ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked group.

22. (Original) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted to select a physical port for each of said frames exiting said switch based at least in part on said correspondence.

23.-25. (Cancelled)

26. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted to balance frame traffic by applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

27. (Original) The apparatus of claim 26, wherein said switch is adapted to apply a pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

28. (Original) The apparatus of claim 26, wherein said switch is adapted to apply said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based at

least in part on the logical port selected as said particular port.

29. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted

to apply a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said

particular logical port being selected for each particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

30. (Original) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein said switch is adapted to apply a

pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

31. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein said switch is adapted

to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route each of said

particular frames based at least in part on the logical port selected for each of said particular

logical ports.

32. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted

to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said switch as an egress port for a particular

frame of said frames exiting said switch.

33. (Original) The apparatus of claim 32, wherein said switch is adapted to employ said

correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based at

least in part on the selected logical port.

34. (Original) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted to select a

physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch, said physical port being selected

based at least in part on said correspondence.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

35. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 34, wherein said switch is adapted to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said switch as an egress port for each of said

frames exiting said switch.

36. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 35, wherein said switch is adapted

to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port corresponding to each of said

particular logical ports to which to route each of said frames exiting said switch, said corresponding physical port being based at least in part on the logical port selected as the

particular logical port.

37. (Original) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted to selected a

physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch based at least in part on a source

tag and/or a destination tag added to said frame after said frame enters said switch.

38. (Original) The apparatus of claim 37, wherein said switch is adapted to strip said

source tag and/or said destination tag off said frame before said frame exits said switch.

39. (Original) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein said switch is adapted to select a

physical port for each of said frames exiting said switch based at least in part on a source tag

and/or a destination tag added to each of said frames after said frames enter said switch.

40. (Original) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein said switch is adapted to strip said

source tag and/or said destination tag off each of said frames before each of said frames exits

said switch.

41. (Previously Presented) A switch fabric comprising:

at least a first switch and a second switch;

said first switch including a processor and memory;

said first switch further including a plurality of logical ports and a plurality of physical ports, and having the capability to route a flow of frames exiting said first switch;

said first switch being adapted to apply a correspondence between said plurality of logical ports and said plurality of physical ports, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order, and being further adapted to balance frame traffic through said first switch using said plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said first switch via said physical ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked group.

42. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is adapted to select a physical port for each of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in part on said correspondence.

43.-45. (Cancelled)

46. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is adapted to balance frame traffic by applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said first switch.

47. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 46, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply a pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

48. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 46, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based

at least in part on the logical port selected as said particular port.

49. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is

adapted to apply a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port,

said particular logical port being selected for each particular frame of said frames exiting said

first switch.

50. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 49, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply

a pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

51. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 49, wherein said first switch is

adapted to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route each of

said particular frames based at least in part on the logical port selected for each of said particular

logical ports.

52. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 51, wherein said first switch is

adapted to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said first switch as an egress port

for a particular frame of said frames exiting said first switch.

53. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 52, wherein said first switch is adapted to

employ said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular

frame based at least in part on the selected logical port.

54. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is adapted to select

a physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch, said physical port being

selected based at least in part on said correspondence.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

55. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 54, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said first switch as an egress port

for each of said frames exiting said first switch.

56. (Previously Presented) The switch fabric of claim 55, wherein said first switch is

adapted to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port corresponding to each of

said particular logical ports to which to route each of said frames exiting said first switch, said

corresponding physical port being based at least in part on the logical port selected as the

particular logical port.

57. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is adapted to

selected a physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in

part on a source tag and/or a destination tag added to said frame after said frame enters said first

switch.

58. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 57, wherein said first switch is adapted to strip

said source tag and/or said destination tag off said frame before said frame exits said first switch.

59. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 41, wherein said first switch is adapted to

selected a physical port for each of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in part on a

source tag and/or a destination tag added to each of said frames after said frames enter said first

switch.

60. (Original) The switch fabric of claim 59, wherein said first switch is adapted to strip

said source tag and/or said destination tag off each of said frames before each of said frames

exits said first switch.

61. (Previously Presented) A network comprising:

a host;

a physical storage unit;

a first switch and a second switch communicatively coupled to form a switch fabric;

said first switch and said second switch further communicatively coupled to said host and said physical storage unit:

said first switch including a processor and memory, and further including a plurality of logical ports and a plurality of physical ports;

said first switch being adapted to apply a correspondence between said plurality of logical ports and said plurality of physical ports, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order, and being further adapted to balance frame traffic through said first switch using said plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said first switch via said physical ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked group.

62. (Original) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is adapted to select a physical port for each of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in part on said correspondence.

63.-65. (Cancelled)

66. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is adapted to balance frame traffic by applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said first switch.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

67. (Original) The network of claim 66, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply a

pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

68. (Original) The network of claim 66, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply said

correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based at

least in part on the logical port selected as said particular port.

69. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is

adapted to apply a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for each particular frame of said frames exiting said

first switch.

70. (Original) The network of claim 69, wherein said first switch is adapted to apply a

pseudo-random process that comprises applying a hash function.

71. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 69, wherein said first switch is

adapted to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route each of

said particular frames based at least in part on the logical port selected for each of said particular

logical ports.

72. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is

adapted to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said first switch as an egress port

for a particular frame of said frames exiting said first switch.

73. (Original) The network of claim 72, wherein said first switch is adapted to employ

said correspondence to determine the physical port to which to route said particular frame based

at least in part on the selected logical port.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

74. (Original) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is adapted to select a physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch, said physical port being

selected based at least in part on said correspondence.

75. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 74, wherein said first switch is

adapted to apply weights to select a particular logical port of said first switch as an egress port

for each of said frames exiting said first switch.

76. (Previously Presented) The network of claim 75, wherein said first switch is

adapted to employ said correspondence to determine the physical port corresponding to each of said particular logical ports to which to route each of said frames exiting said first switch, said

corresponding physical port being based at least in part on the logical port selected as the

particular logical port.

77. (Original) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is adapted to selected a

physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in part on a

source tag and/or a destination tag added to said frame after said frame enters said first switch.

78. (Original) The network of claim 77, wherein said first switch is adapted to strip said

source tag and/or said destination tag off said frame before said frame exits said first switch.

79. (Original) The network of claim 61, wherein said first switch is adapted to selected a physical port for each of said frames exiting said first switch based at least in part on a source tag

and/or a destination tag added to each of said frames after said frames enter said first switch.

80. (Original) The network of claim 79, wherein said first switch is adapted to strip said

source tag and/or said destination tag off each of said frames before each of said frames exits

said first switch.

81. (Previously Presented) An article comprising: a storage medium having stored thereon instructions, that when executed, result in performance of a method of routing a flow of frames comprising:

applying a correspondence between a plurality of logical ports and a plurality of physical ports of a switch, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order:

balancing frame traffic through said switch using said plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said switch via said physical ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked group.

82. (Original) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when further executed, result in: a selected physical port for each of said frames exiting said switch being selected based at least in part on said correspondence.

83.-85. (Cancelled)

86. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when further executed, result in: said balancing comprising applying a pseudo-random process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

87. (Original) The article of claim 86, wherein said instructions, when further executed, result in: said applying a pseudo-random process comprising applying a hash function.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

88. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 86, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said correspondence being employed to determine the physical port to

which to route said particular frame based at least in part on the logical port selected as said

particular logical port.

89. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said balancing comprising applying a pseudo-random process to

select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for

each particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

90. (Original) The article of claim 89, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: said applying a pseudo-random process comprising applying a hash function.

91. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 89, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said correspondence being employed to determine the physical port to which to route each of said particular frames based at least in part on the logical port selected for

each of said particular logical ports.

92. (Previously Presented)
The article of claim 91, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said balancing comprising applying weights to select a particular

logical port of said switch as an egress port for a particular frame of said frames exiting said

switch.

93. (Original) The article of claim 92, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: said correspondence being employed to determine the physical port to which to route

said particular frame based at least in part on the selected logical port.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

94. (Original) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch comprising, for each of said frames exiting said switch, a physical port being selected based at least in part on

· 1 1

said correspondence.

95. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 94, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said balancing comprising applying weights to select a particular

logical port of said switch as an egress port for each of said frames exiting said switch.

96. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 95, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: said correspondence being employed to determine the physical port corresponding to each of said particular logical ports to which to route each of said frames

exiting said switch, said corresponding physical port being based at least in part on the logical

port selected as the particular logical port.

97. (Original) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch being further

selected based at least in part on a source tag and/or a destination tag added to said frame after

said frame enters said switch.

98. (Original) The article of claim 97, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: said source tag and/or said destination tag being stripped off said frame before said

frame exits said switch.

99. (Original) The article of claim 81, wherein said instructions, when further executed,

result in: a selected physical port for each of said frames exiting said switch being further

selected based at least in part on a source tag and/or a destination tag added to each of said

frames after said frames enter said switch.

Reply to Office Action of March 17, 2008

100. (Original) The article of claim 99, wherein said instructions, when further executed, result in: said source tag and/or said destination tag being stripped off each of said frames before

each of said frames exits said switch

101. (Previously Presented) An article comprising: a storage medium having stored

thereon instructions that, when executed, result in performance of a method of initializing a

switch to route a flow of frames comprising:

initializing a correspondence between a plurality of logical ports and a plurality of

physical ports of said switch, at least one logical port having corresponded a plurality of physical

ports to form a trunked group, wherein said corresponded physical ports can be any of said

plurality of physical ports exiting said switch, wherein said corresponded ports all operate at the

same rate and wherein frames in a trunked group are delivered in order, and

further initializing said switch to balance frame traffic through said switch using said

plurality of logical ports, said frame traffic including frames exiting said switch via said physical

ports, a selected physical port for at least one of said frames exiting said switch being selected

based at least in part on said correspondence, with any frames exiting said switch via physical

ports forming a trunked group being balanced over said physical ports forming the trunked

group.

102. (Cancelled)

103. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 100, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: initializing said switch to balance frame traffic by applying a pseudorandom process to select a particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port

being selected for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

104. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 103, wherein said instructions, when

further executed, result in: initializing said switch to apply a pseudo-random process to select a

particular logical port as an egress port, said particular logical port being selected for each

particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.

105. (Previously Presented) The article of claim 100, wherein said instructions, when further executed, result in: initializing said switch to balance frame traffic by applying weights to select a particular logical port of said switch as an egress port for a particular frame of said frames exiting said switch.